

DYNAMICS ECOLOGICAL DESIGN

Site Planning • Landscape Design • Construction • Education • Permaculture

David K. Jacke • 33 E. Taylor Hill Rd. • Montague, MA • 01351 • (603) 831-1298 • davej@edibleforestgardens.com

Some Key Definitions For Guild and Polyculture Design

Dave Jacke, v 210322

Species Niche:

- * “The multi-dimensional space a species occupies in an ecosystem;”
- * The relationship of an organism to food, allies, predators & environment and its core strategy for making a living;
- * A species’ multiple inherent:
 - ~ **Needs, tolerances and preferences:** environmental & resource conditions required for survival, thrival, reproduction, yield
 - ~ **Uses:** human uses: food, fuel, fiber, fodder, farmaceuticals, fun
 - ~ **Functions:** ecosystem functions such as nutrient accumulator (fertilizer), nectary, groundcover
 - ~ **Architecture:** above and belowground structure; form, habit.
 - ~ **Behavior:** e.g., aggressive, persistent, time of flowering, fruiting,
 - ~ Etc.

Community Niche:

- * *Functional role* in a community (similar to priest, baker, shoemaker, cop). For forest gardens includes functions, uses, architecture and behavior.
- * Essentially the same as the core species strategy, but looked at from a community perspective.
- * Each species brings its unique attributes to its community role, just as every person brings their unique attributes to their job role.

Polyculture: any *mixture of plant species* cultivated or naturally growing together *in the same patch* of ground at the same time.

Patch: the basic organizational unit of plant communities; a physical space in an ecosystem that:

- * Varies significantly from its context in terms of vegetation architecture and/or species composition;
- * Has its own successional path distinct from its surroundings;
- * Has “fairly definite” edges.

Guild: a set of plants, animals, fungi and other organisms that interact in specific ways that generate desired emergent properties, *but guild members do not necessarily have to grow/live in the same patch*. Three kinds of guilds have been identified so far that each have specific kinds of relationships within and generate specific emergent properties (see below).

Effective Polyculture: A polyculture with enough functional guilds that the polyculture as a whole exhibits desirable emergent properties, such as overyielding; increased productivity, plant health, and stability; and/or reduced work, stress, and competition.

***Not all guilds are polycultures!
All effective polycultures contain guilds.***

Guilds: Groups of species that interact in specific ways that generate desirable emergent properties. So far, three kinds of guilds have been identified:

1) Community Function Guild: A set of species that all perform the same community function, and therefore fill the same community niche.

- Members typically occupy the same food web position (e.g., producer, herbivore, carnivore).
- The guild may contain different types of organisms, but all of them do the same “job.”

* Embodies *The Principle of Redundancy Principle*: with more than one organism filling a job role, the role is likely to be filled even if one or more organisms die out because others are there to do the work.

* Supports *The Principle of Community Functional Vitality* by helping us understand and fill more community niches.

→ Provides redundancy—and therefore stability—of ecosystem function.

→ Increases diversity.

→ Increases ecosystem resilience.

Challenge: May engender competition if species niches overlap too much. Ideally, community function guilds will *also* function as resource-partitioning guilds.

2) Resource-Partitioning Guild: Species that partition a shared scarce resource by time, space, or kind to avoid competition (also known as a resource-sharing guild).

- Members typically occupy the same food web position (e.g., producer, consumer, carnivore).
- Organisms may differ but they partition the same resource by time, space, or kind.

• Members may or may not be in the same patch. Partitioning root space underground likely requires they share a patch, but flowering plants partitioning pollination services could be widely dispersed and still partition the shared resource (bees and other pollinators).

* Embodies *The Polyculture Partitioning Principle* by using members with divergent niches relative to scarce resources so as to limit or avoid competition, and increase the chances of additive yielding.

→ Reduces competition; reduces stress caused by competition or lack of resources.

→ Increases biodiversity, since more species can “make a living” in the same space.

→ Increases productivity for individual guild members and the ecosystem as a whole, because fewer resources are devoted to competition.

3) Mutual Support Guild: A set of species from different community niches whose needs and yields interconnect for the benefit of one, the other, both, or third parties in the guild.

- The species in mutual support guilds can interact both between different levels of the food web and within the same levels of the food web.

• Can be complex, involving many different kinds of species and many kinds of species interactions (predation, competition, inhibition, facilitation, cooperation, mutualism, etc.).

• Depending on the interactions, species may or may not be in the same patch or in proximity.

• Consider species beyond plants in the design of these guilds: birds, frogs, reptiles, insects, bacteria, and fungi all offer functions that can support each other.

* Embodies *The Principle of Functional Interconnection* because the needs of one element are met by the yields of another element in the guild.

→ Needs met, so increases harmony, reduces stress and work to maintain the system.

→ Increases system stability by increasing the health of members and strengthening stabilizing relationships.

→ Reduces waste and pollution, because inherent yields utilized.

References:

- Mollison, Bill. 1990. *Permaculture: A Practical Guide for a Sustainable Future*. Covelo, California: Island Press. 60.
- Root, R.B. 1967. "The niche exploitation pattern of the blue-grey gnat catcher." *Ecological Monographs*, 37(4) Autumn 1967: 317-350.
- Kimmins, J.P. 1987. *Forest Ecology: A Foundation for Sustainable Forest Management and Environmental Ethics in Forestry*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. 430.
- MacArthur, R.H. 1958. "Population ecology of some warblers of northeastern coniferous forests." *Ecology* 39: 599-619.
- Weaver, John E. 1919. *The Ecological Relations of Roots*. Washington, DC: Carnegie Institution of Washington, Publication #286.
- Mt. Pleasant, Jane, and Robert F. Burt. 2010. "Estimating Productivity of Traditional Iroquoian Cropping Systems from Field Experiments and Historical Literature." *Journal of Ethnobiology*, 30(1):52-79 (2010).
- Mt. Pleasant, Jane. 2016. "Food yields and nutrient analyses of the Three Sisters: A Haudenosaunee cropping system." *Ethnobiology Letters* 7(1): 87-98.
- Fukuoka, Masanobu. 1978. *The One-Straw Revolution: An Introduction to Natural Farming*. Emmaus, PA: Rodale Press. 65-66.